

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		5586D-7076	8659	
09/196,013	11/19/1998	NORIO KOMA	33305 7474		
20021	590 02/22/2002		EXAMINER		
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P. 500 S. GRAND AVENUE SUITE 1900 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611			NGUYEN, I	NGUYEN, FRANCIS N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2674		

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/196,013

Advisory Action

Applicant(s)

NORIO KOMO

Examiner

FRANCIS NGUYEN

Art Unit 2674



the desired and de
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
PLY FILED <u>Feb 5, 2002</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. e, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for under 37 CFR 1.114.
THE PERIOD FOR REPLY (check only a) or oil
The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final
nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate assigns on the specific process. The date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The period for the shortened statutory period for reply originally originally on the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the final office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in
The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);
thou raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:
IOTE:
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a
separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).
The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument as to different drive voltages to R/G/B electrodes is not valid because any input video signal. Applicant's argument as to different drive voltages to R/G/B electrodes is not valid because any input video signal.
provides different chrominance intensity, hence different driving waveforms are innerent, rejection is members
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
Claim(s) allowed: NONE Claim(s) objected to: NONE Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3-5, and 7-17
The proposed drawing correction filed on Feb 5, 2002 aX has by has not been approved by the Examina
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
Total Section of the
Other: Interview Summary RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2609 Part of Paper No. 13